Seismic noises and earthquakes impact on the Virgo gravitational-wave detector during the O3 run (04/2019 – 03/2020)

Nicolas Arnaud (<u>nicolas.arnaud@ijclab.in2p3.fr</u>) IJCLab – Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie (Université Paris-Saclay & CNRS/IN2P3) EGO – European Gravitational Observatory (Consortium, CNRS, INFN & NIKHEF)

On behalf of the Virgo Collaboration VIR-0742A-21

Amaldi 14 – Virtual conference, July 19, 2021





Outline

- The O3 run in a nutshell
 - Virgo performance
- Seismic noise
 - Monitoring and disentangling its different contributions
 - Impact on the Virgo detector during the O3 run
- Earthquakes
 - Early warning system and control room mitigation
 - Virgo robustness against earthquakes
 - Control losses: from strong and distant earthquakes but also very close ones
- Prospects for the O4 run (2022-2023)

The O3 run

- Global network of ground-based gravitational-wave (GW) advanced detectors
 - LIGO Hanford
 - LIGO Livingston
 - Virgo







 \rightarrow All three detectors taking data together from day 1 of the run

- O3: 2 data-taking periods
 - <mark>O3a</mark>: 2019/04/01 → 2019/10/01
 - O3b: 2019/11/01 → 2020/03/27
 - Premature ending due to covid-19
 - 1-month commissioning break (10/2019)
- Scientific harvest
 - 56 public alerts
 - Exceptional events
 - Neutron star black hole mergers
 - Updates to the GW Transient Catalog
 - Published for O3a public data
 - In progress for O3b



The O3 run for the Virgo detector



The O3 run for the Virgo detector

- Sensitivity about doubled w.r.t. the O2 run (August 2017)
 - Binary neutron star range (BNS range)
 - Steady: 45-55 Mpc
 - Record: 60 Mpc



- Duty cycle (O3a + O3b)
 - Science data taking: 76%
 - Consistent with O2 (80%)
 - Remaining time divided almost equally among 3 categories
 - Working point (re)acquisition
 - Maintenance + calibration + commissioning
 - Problems preventing the normal running of Virgo





Environmental monitoring and seismic noise

- Virgo environmental sensor array
 - MCB: Mode-Cleaner Building
 - N(W)EB: North (West)
 End Building
 - CEB: CEntral Building
- Seismic noise
 - Microseism: 0.1 ÷ 1 Hz
 - Dominant
 - Interaction between sea waves and ground
 - Peak around 350 mHz
 - Anthropogenic: 1 ÷ 5-10 Hz
 - Heavy vehicles on elevated roads
 - **Onsite**: 10 ÷ 40 Hz
 - Traffic on nearby roads, agricultural activities
 - \rightarrow Frequency band-limited RMS (BLRMS) to isolate the different contributions





Seismic noise variability

- Microseism: seasonal variations
 - Larger in Fall/Winter
 - Color code
 - Green: $< 75^{\text{th}}$ percentile
 - Yellow: $75^{\text{th}} 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile
 - Red: $> 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile
- Anthropogenic + on-site
 - Impact of "global conditions"
 - Day/night + weekday variations
 - Holidays, pandemic...









Sensitivity modulation

- Figure-of-merit: the BNS range
 - Subject to variations from multiple origins not just the environment
 - Control accuracy, detector global status, minor problems, etc.
 - \rightarrow Raw" BNS range value not suitable for such study
 - Instead: use BNS range variations around its daily median level
- O3-averaged variations Over a week baseline







- \rightarrow Modulation similar to anthropogenic noise
 - Limited amplitude: a few percents at most

Duty cycle

- Defined as the fraction of time spent taking Science data
 - Red: relative to wall-clock time
 - Green: removing calibration, commissioning and maintenance periods

\rightarrow Not the same modulation

Duty cycle driven by the (lack of) activities of the crew on duty



Microseism impact

Reconstructed GW strain channel h(t) worsens during high microseism activity
Up to ~40 Hz



- Blue: h(t) BLRMS
- Red: Microseismic band BLRMS

Microseism impact

- Noise transient rate per minute
 - Black: rate in the 10 ÷ 2048 Hz band
 - Blue: rate in the 10 ÷ 40 Hz band
 - Red: microseism BLRMS
- → Impacts data quality and GW search trigger rate
- Main path identified
 - High microseism
 - Larger relative motion of a detector component
 - Scattered light
 - \rightarrow Typical "arches"
 - in spectrograms
- \rightarrow Improvements foreseen for O4





Wind impact

- Bad weather ⇔ high microseism activity (rough sea) and wind
 → Disentangling the two contributions
- Some wind impact on the BNS range above ~25 km/h



- → Up to 10% variation: significant but limited
 - Detector robustness

• Larger corrections to keep the detector control as the wind speed increases



- \rightarrow Limited actuation range
 - Saturation: immediate control loss

Wind impact

- Duty cycle
 - x-axis: microseism BLRMS
 - 3 datasets



 \rightarrow Detector robust against microseism but more sensitive to wind

Earthquakes

- High-enough seismic waves \Rightarrow feedback system saturation
 - \Rightarrow Working point not controlled anymore ("lock loss")
 - \Rightarrow Duty cycle decreases
 - "Locking time" + eventually the time to damp excited suspensions
- Seismon: an earthquake early warning system
 - Developed by LIGO; running at EGO since O2
 - Input: earthquake alerts from a low-latency US Geological Survey (USGS) stream
 - Output: seismic wave arrival times and amplitude estimation at detector location
 - \rightarrow Interfaced with Virgo data acquisition and control system
- Earthquake mitigation
 - Requires warning to arrive in the control room prior to the seismic waves
 - Up to tens of minutes of margin for the most distant earthquakes on Earth
 - Manual switch to a more resilient control configuration w/o losing the lock
 - (Slightly) more noisy
 - Only validated for Science data taking close to the end of O3b
 - Actuation range doubled \Rightarrow saturation (and control loss) less likely
 - Back to nominal control when seismic waves fade away
 - Overall duty cycle gain if the detector has survived the earthquake

Control losses due to earthquakes

- 601 lock losses from Science mode during the whole O3 run
 - Less than 2 / day in average
 - Locking phase median duration: 25 minutes
 - Median number of attempts: 2
- \rightarrow 30 (5%) found to be due to earthquakes
 - About 1 / 10-11 days in average
 - 24 more lock losses due to earthquakes found while not taking Science mode data
 - \rightarrow Included in the following analysis to increase dataset studied
- 2 main categories
 - Distant and strong earthquakes
 - Warning available ahead of the seismic waves but the control could not hold
 - Weak but very close earthquakes
 - Not reported at the output of Seismon
 - → Found using the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) public earthquake database
 - Too close anyway to trigger "early" warnings
 - \rightarrow But important to find the right cause for these lock losses
 - Time-coincident USGS early warnings missing or not making sense

Earthquakes location

- Whole O3
- Excluding earthquakes clearly too weak
 - Empirical cut based on magnitude and distance
- Red dots: lock losses



Earthquakes location



Earthquakes strength

- Classification based on earthquake magnitude and epicenter distance
 - Blue histograms: all earthquakes
 - Red histograms: earthquakes that led to a control loss during O3



Earthquakes strength

- Classification based on earthquake magnitude and epicenter distance
 - Green dots: earthquakes that did not led to a control loss
 - Red dots: earthquakes that led to a control loss



 \rightarrow Magnitude and distance are key parameters

- Others may play a role as well (epicenter depth, azimuth)
- So probably does the actual state of the detector when seismic waves arrive

Riding through a strong earthquake

- May 06, 2019
 - Estimated magnitude: 7.1
 - Distance: ~14,000 km





By allowing to exceed the correction saturation level w/o loosing control

20

Outlook

- O3 dataset used to quantify findings that had previously been observed qualitatively or empirically
- Seismic noises impact the Virgo detector in a complicated way
 - Various contributions, varying over time for multiple reasons
 - Weather, human activities
- \rightarrow The Virgo detector appears to be quite robust against those disturbances
 - Limited decrease of BNS range or duty cycle in harsh conditions
- \rightarrow Experience gained to prepare the O4 run
 - More studies needed to understand better the complex behavior of the detector
- Earthquakes are sub-dominant but clear contributors to control losses
 - \rightarrow Two paths explored in parallel for O4
 - Increase the actuation range by dividing the correction force applied among more low-noise actuators
 - Extend the earthquake early warning system
 - Use the latest Seismon version
 - Add input stream from INGV ("closer" to Virgo) in addition to USGS