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## 1 Introduction

In order to calibrate the mirror actuators, the so-called free swinging Michelson technique was used during Virgo and will be used for AdV. This calibration method [1] is based on the non-linear reconstruction of the differential arm length $(\Delta L)$ of the Michelson interferometer from the continuous and the demodulated power at the antisymetric port (B1p beam). The reconstructed motion then allows to reconstruct the response of the actuator in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{V}$ when some signal is sent to the electromagnetic actuators. During Virgo, this calibration was performed in the so-called high-power mode of the actuators, since a rather large motion of the mirrors was necessary to be able to measure it in the reconstructed channel.

In this note, two aspects of such data are studied:

- the calibration data sensitivity in $\Delta L$ is estimated: it puts constraints on the minimum displacement of the AdV mirrors to be induced by the electromagnetic actuators during calibration free swinging Michelson data (constraints for the PAY and SAT sub-systems).
- the continuous and demodulated powers sensed by the photodiode used on the B1p beam are estimated: it puts constraints on the readout electronics (constraints for the DET sub-system).

The beam powers are estimated from analytical calculations (section 2) and from time-domain simulations (section 3). The $\Delta L$ sensitivity is estimated running the non-linear reconstruction of the differential arm length on the simulated data.

First, in order to be confident in both the analytical calculations and the simulations, a first study has been performed using Virgo and Virgo + configurations. The results have been compared to the data from VSR2 for Virgo and VSR4 for Virgot. The comparisons are described in section 4.

Then the estimated DC and AC powers of the B 1 p beam and the noise level of the $\Delta L$ reconstructed channel have been simulated for AdV configurations in section 5.

Some ideas that could be further studied in the future to improve the calibration are given in section 6.3.

## 2 Overview of the analytical calculations

The Michelson ITF is described as in figure 1 with the following parameters:

- $\lambda_{0}$ and $P_{0}$, the input laser beam wavelength and power ( $\omega_{0}$ its pulsation),
- $\Omega$ and $m$ the pulsation and depth of the frontal phase modulation of the input beam,
- the beam-splitter has amplitude reflection and transmission coefficients of $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$,
- $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the amplitude reflection coefficients of the two arms,


Figure 1: Simple Michelson configuration with frontal phase modulation.

- $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are the lengths of the two arms.

Following appendix B of note [1], one can define:

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}(m) \text { and } J_{1}(m) & \text { the Bessel coefficients }  \tag{1}\\
r & =\frac{r_{2}+r_{1}}{2} \text { the average reflectivity }  \tag{2}\\
\Delta r & =\frac{r_{2}-r_{1}}{2} \text { the reflectivity asymmetry }  \tag{3}\\
C & =\frac{r^{2}-\Delta r^{2}}{r^{2}+\Delta r^{2}} \text { the ITF contrast }  \tag{4}\\
l_{-} & =l_{2}-l_{1} \text { the arm length difference }  \tag{5}\\
T & =\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\mathrm{c}} l_{-}\right) \text {the sideband transmission }  \tag{6}\\
\Delta \Phi & =2 \frac{\omega_{0}}{\mathrm{c}} l_{-} \quad \text { the phase offset of the Michelson arms } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The analytical calculation has been first described in appendix B of note [1]. The formula for the DC power is correct, but some errors have been found in the AC power computation as summarized in appendix C.

The continuous term of the power transmitted by the ITF at the anti-symmetric port is:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{D C}= & \beta(1-\gamma \cos (\Delta \Phi))  \tag{8}\\
\text { with } \quad & \beta=P_{0}\left(\frac{J_{0}^{2}}{2}+J_{1}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}+\Delta r^{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \gamma=\left[1-\frac{2 J_{1}^{2}}{\frac{J_{0}^{2}}{2}+J_{1}^{2}} T\right] C \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The demodulated term at $\Omega$ of the power transmitted by the ITF is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{A C p}=\alpha_{A C p} \sin (\Delta \Phi)  \tag{11}\\
& \alpha_{A C p}=2 P_{0} J_{0} J_{1} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{c} l_{-}\right)\left[r^{2}-\Delta r^{2}\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Overview of the simulation

The time-domain simulation of the free swinging Michelson configurations have be performed using the Siesta software [2] (v5r02).

The mirror suspensions have been simulated using the MIsa card from Siesta, and the initial Virgo suspension descriptions provided in Siesta. They have not been adapated to AdV; in particular, the mass of the mirror has not been increased in the AdV simulations. The seismic noise have been included since it is one of the limiting noise in the reconstructed $\Delta L$ channel. The motions of the suspension along the beam $(z)$ and around the x -axis ${ }^{1}\left(\theta_{x}\right)$ have been simulated since they are both strongly coupled to the longitudinal motion, while the other degrees of freedom have been frozen.

All the mirrors (PR, BS, NI, NE, WI, WE and SR) have been defined in the simulation, with their proper reflection and losses coefficients. The optical simulation has been performed using the OPnode card, such that the power lost by the misaligned mirrors in the real ITF is also lost in the simulation. A pick-off mirror has been simulated in order to extract a small fraction of the power at the anti-symmetric port, as done for the beam B1p in Virgo.

The input laser beam has been simulated with the carrier and two sidebands at the first modulation frequency ( $\sim 6.26 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). The beam is described with the modal expansion method, including the TEM00, TEM01 and TEM10 modes (cards IOlaser and OPmod).

The beam power detection and demodulation has been done using the OPdiode card when no electronics noise was simulated and the OPdet card when adding electronics noise in the simulation. The powers given in this note are the power after the B1p pick-off (i.e. the power before any splitter is more than one photodiode is used to measure B1p).

The simulated differential arm length $\Delta L$ has been computed in Siesta from the positions of the different mirrors and saved in the simulated channels. It allows to compare the reconstructed $\Delta L$ to the initial one.

Examples of Siesta configuration files are given in appedix D for Virgo+ and E for AdV, both in the WE-NI Michelson configuration.

## 4 Comparison of calculation and simulation to VSR4 data

In this section, the calculations and simulations, performed with the ITF parameters as it was during the run VSR4 (June to September 2011), are compared to the real data. The real data are first described. Then the results of the simulations are given and conclusions are drawn.

### 4.1 Force and displacement applied to the mirrors during Virgo+

In Virgo + , the force applied to the mirror can be estimated from the signal sent to the actuation and the actuation response. The induced mirror displacement can then be estimated.

The calibration of the mirror actuators was done using free swinging Michelson data with the mirror actuation in high-power (HP) mode. In this mode, the calibration signal $z$ Corr is converted into a current flowing in the coil through the DSP gains $(7.6 \times 1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$, the DAC, and the trans-conductance amplifier with a gain of $0.15 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{V}$. The geometry of the coil-magnet actuator is such that the conversion factor is estimated $t^{2} 2 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{A}$. As a consequence, the force per coil is estimated to $2.3 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{V}$.

For a sinusoidal force of amplitude $\Delta F$ and frequency $f$, the mirror motion $\Delta x$ can then be estimated knowing the mass $m(21.34 \mathrm{~kg})$ of the mirror following the equation ${ }^{3}$ (assuming two coils are used to move the mirror):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x(f)=-2 \times \frac{1}{m} \frac{\Delta F}{(2 \pi f)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]

Figure 2: Reconstructed $\Delta L$ during WE-NI data during VSR4. The lines at 71.5 Hz and 951.5 Hz were injected through the WE mirror, coils left-right, and the lines at $71.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 116.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 851.5 Hz and 951.5 Hz through the WE mirror, coils up-down, with zCorr amplitudes of $\sim 0.19 \mathrm{~V}$ each. The lines at $17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 57 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 147 Hz were injected through the BS mirror. The line visible at 1111 Hz is a line used to control the laser frequency. Different signals and methods were used to reconstruct $\Delta L$. The reconstruction used for the calibration is shown in black.

For a 1 V amplitude excitation signal $z C o r r$, the force amplitude is 4.6 mN and the mirror motion amplitude is $5.5 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}$ at 1 kHz . It corresponds to the VSR4 measurements ${ }^{4}$ shown in figure 2: a line at 951.5 Hz was injected through the WE mirror actuation with amplitude of 0.19 V during 180 s . It resulted in a reconstructed $\Delta L$ of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}$. Concerning the calibration, the coherence between the calibration signal $z C o r r$ and the reconstructed $\Delta L$ was 0.74. The gain and phase were estimated to $18.3 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ and $-9.7 \pm 5.9$ degrees respectively. The uncertainties are thus of the order of $10 \%$ and 100 mrad .
Note that such injections were done with amplitudes of the order of 1.4 V for each line at the input of the coil driver DAC, hence 2.8 V at the input of the DAC of WE actuation.

### 4.2 Real data

The raw signals extracted from the B1p photodiodes d 1 and d 2 are shown in figure 3 (during VSR4 data in WE-NI Michelson configuration). At that time, the DC and AC power signals were extracted from the photodiodes d 1 and d 2 respectively.

The spectrum of the reconstructed arm differential length $\Delta L$ is shown in figure 4(a) for data in WE-NI and in NE-WI Michelson configurations.

The shape of the B1p beam was monitored by a camera. The image of the beam is shown in figure 4(b). At first order, the beam looks gaussian, indicating that the Michelson mirrors were not too misaligned during the data taking.

Remarks on the calibration of the B1p photodiodes - The calibration coefficients used online for the B1p signals are given in appendix F for the runs VSR2, VSR3 and VSR4. The gains to convert the voltage in power are defined by resistances in the readout electronics, as well as by the gain of the demodulation electronics for the AC signals. The processed channels $P r_{-} B 1 p_{-} D C, A C p, A C q$ are the power of the B1p beam, before the splitter between the two photodiodes ${ }^{5}$.

The online gains (in W/V) of the DC channels correspond within $\sim 20 \%$ to the expected values from the resistances used to readout the photodiode signal. The conversions of both channels $P r_{-} B 1 p_{-} d 1_{-} D C$ and $P r_{-} B 1 p_{-} d 2_{-} D C$ to a power using the DC gains are also in agreement within $\sim 20 \%$. The offsets of the DC channels were not checked in this study, but there values are reasonable. This gives confidence in the absolute calibration of the B1p DC channels within $\sim 20 \%$.

Concerning the AC channels of the B1p photodiodes, the voltage offsets can be estimated from the raw signals of the figure 3, in V, since the AC powers are expected to be symmetric around 0 . The voltage offset can be converted in an offset in adc counts from the gain in $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{adc}$
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Figure 3: VSR4 WE-NI data: time series and spectrum of the B1p raw signals measured by the photodiodes (GPS 998716025). First line: $\operatorname{Pr} r_{-} B 1 p(W)$. Second line: Pr_B1p_d1 (V). Third line: $\operatorname{Pr} r_{-} B 1 p_{-} d 2(V)$. Times-series of $A C$ (1st column) and DC (2nd column) signals over 2 s. Spectrum of $A \bar{C}$ (3rd column) and DC (4th column) signals (FFTs of 6.5 s , over 100 s ). ACp in black and $A C q$ in red. (a forest of lines at multiple of 10 Hz is visible at high frequency, mainly in the d1 DC channel)


Figure 4: VSR4 WE-NI data. (a) Black: WE-NI configuration. Purple: NE-WI configuration.
count given in the appendix. It can then be compared to the offset used online and also given in the appendix.
During VSR3, the offsets values used online were reasonable, the larger difference being 366 adc counts ( 3.5 mV ) on $B 1 p_{-} d 1_{-} A C q$. During VSR4, the offsets values used online are much more different than expected for the $A C p$ channnels with offsets of the order of 135000 and 24000 adc counts (or 1.30 V and 0.230 V ) for the d 1 and d 2 channels respectively (both correspond to $\sim 155 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ offset).
Assuming that the offsets might not be well defined, the AC gains (W/V) can be checked looking at the peak-to-peak values of the AC channels. It has been checked that the d1 and d2 AC gains are compatible together, giving the same peak-to-peak power within better than a factor 2 .

As a conclusion, both d1 and d2 channels of B1p have compatible DC calibration within $20 \%$ and the absolute values can be trusted. The gains of the AC channels are also compatible within a factor 2 between d 1 and d2 photodiodes, but the offsets are not properly set. As a consequence, the peak-to-peak values of $\operatorname{Pr} r_{-} B 1 p_{-} A C p, A C q$ might be trusted within a factor 2 , but not the absolute values.

High-frequency noise floor - In Virgo+, in the asymmetric Michelson configurations, the DC power of B 1 p was of the order of $P_{D C} \sim 0.350 \mathrm{~mW}$. It results in a shot noise of $\sqrt{2 \mathrm{~h} \nu P_{D C}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~W}$. As shown in figure $5(\mathrm{a})$, the noise floor of the power spectrum is ten times higher. It indicates that it is limited by the electronics noise.

### 4.3 Siesta simulations

As described in section 3, simulations of the Virgo+ interferometer have been performed to reproduce the WE-NI Michelson configuration.

The AC and DC powers of the simulated B1p signal are shown as times-series and spectrum in figure $5(\mathrm{a})$. After data processing, the spectrum of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ is shown in figure 5(b).

Impact of electronic noise - From both the data and the simulation, the shot noise is found to be of the order of $10^{-11} \mathrm{~W}$ and the electronics noise is limiting the sensitivity to $\sim 10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$ above $\sim 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Different noise levels have been modified in the simulations in order to show their effects on the sensitivity in term of $\Delta L$.
In case of a factor 10 more noise in the AC channels only, no additionnal noise is visible in the DC channel, the noise of the demodulated power increases by $\sim \sqrt{10}$, and the noise floor of the $\Delta L$ spectrum increases by less than a factor 2 .
In case of a factor 10 more noise in the DC channel only, the noise is directly visible in the DC channel, the noise of the demodulated power increases by $\sim \sqrt{10}$, and the noise floor of the $\Delta L$ spectrum increases by a factor 10 .

Non-linear noise - As shown in figure $5(\mathrm{~b})$, the bump around 20 Hz visible in the $\Delta L$ spectrum is not present if only the TEM00 mode of the laser beam is simulated. It must be due to non-linear coupling of the low frequency seismic noise to the longitudinal motion, probably through the $\theta_{x}$ degree of freedom of the mirrors.
"Arches" are visibles in the spectrum as function of time of the data and of the simulations as shown in figure 6. The coupling will be studied later and is not described in this note.

Other remarks - In the intermediate frequency range, the noise floor of the NE-WI configuration is lower than in the WE-NI configuration.. This is due to the fact that the transmission factor of the sideband is lower in the WE-NI configuration ( $T=0.76$ ) than in the NE-WI configuration $(T=0.92)$. However, it is the opposite in the VSR4 data as shown in figure 4(b), and with a larger difference. The reason is not understood. It could be due to a stronger non-linear noise coupling in the NE-WI data, but the reason is not known yet.

### 4.4 Comparison

Powers - The table 1 summarizes the powers of the B1p beam simulated with siesta, computed analytically, and measured in the WE-NI and NE-WI Michelson configurations during Virgo (VSR1, in 2007) and Virgo+ (VSR4, in 2011).

The simulation and the analytical computation are in agreement for the DC and AC powers.
The estimations are over-estimated by a factor of $\sim 1.4$ compared to the VSR1 data. Taking into account this factor, the agreement is within better than $10 \%$.

(a) Simulated time-series and spectrum of photodiode signals (WENI).


Figure 5: Simulated Virgo + configurations. (a) WE-NI configuration. All y-axis units are watts. First line: times-series of $A C$ and DC signals over 2 s. Second line: spectrum of $A C$ and DC signals (FFTs of 6.5 s ). ACp in black and ACq in red. (b) Reconstructed $\Delta L$ in WE-NI configuration. Red: simulated $\Delta L$. Black: reconstructed $\Delta L$ with the standard simulation. Blue: reconstructed $\Delta L$ when only the TEM00 mode of the laser is simulated. (c) Comparison of reconstructed $\Delta L$ in WE-NI (black) and NE-WI (purple) configurations (red: simulated $\Delta L$ ).


Table 1: Comparison of simulation and data (WE-NI configuration during VSR4). The average DC power is given, as well as the peak-to-peak ( $\Delta$ ) of the $D C$ and $A C$ powers. See tables 5 and 6 for more details and GPS times of the data.


Figure 6: $\quad \boldsymbol{F F T}$ of $\Delta L_{\text {rec }}$ vs time for data and simulated data.

Compared to VSR4 data, the estimations are also over-estimated, by factors $\sim 1.4$ and $\sim 2.5$ for the DC and AC powers respectively. The DC has still the same difference than during VSR1, while the AC powers have larger different. This difference is not unexpected since the B1p photodiode calibration must have been less precise after different modifications (see section 4.2 on p. 9 and appendix F).

The spectrum of the DC and AC power channels are given in figures 3 and 5 (a) for the VSR4 data and the VSR4 simulation respectively. The behavior of simulation is similar to the one of the data.

A plateau is visible below 10 Hz , with measured values of $5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~W} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in DC and $2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~W} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in AC , the simulated plateau being a factor $\sim 2$ lower.

The high frequency noise is also at similar levels within $50 \%$, with measured values of $1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~W} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in DC and $2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~W} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in AC.

In the mid-frequencies, between 10 Hz and a few hundred's hertz, the noise measured in the data is not reproduced in the siesta simulations.

Reconstructed $\Delta L-\quad$ The spectrum of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ are given in figures 4(a) and 5(b) for the VSR4 data and the VSR4 simulation respectively. The behavior of the simulation is also similar to the one of the data. A plateau is visible in the range $1-10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, with noise level of $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in the data and a factor 2 higher in the simulation. At high frequency, the noise floor is limited at $10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$ in both cases. In the mid-frequencies, the noise structure is also more complicated in the data than in the simulations.

Conclusions - As a conclusion, the siesta simulation reproduces the general behaviour of the data taken in free swinging Michelson configurations during Virgo+. The B1p powers are understood within a factor $\sim 2$.

## 5 Simulation of AdV configurations

In the previous section, it has been shown that the siesta simulations reproduce the general behaviour of the free swinging Michelson data in term of photodiode power and noise, and in term of noise of the reconstructed differential arm length $\Delta L$. Such simulations have thus been done for the different AdV configurations: power recycled ITF with input beam of 25 W ( $\mathrm{PR} \_25 \mathrm{~W}$ ), dual recycled ITF with input beam of 25 W (SR_25W), and dual recycled ITF with input beam of 125 W (SR_125W). The mirror positions, reflectivities and losses have been extracted from the AdV Technical Design Report [3]. The B1p photodiode is assumed to receive $0.3 \%$ of the output beam.

The electronics of the photodiode readout of AdV is not yet finalized. However, the noise of the AdV readout electronic of the photodiode is expected to be equivalent to a shot-noise ${ }^{6}$ of the order of $10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$. The simulations shown in this section are done without electronics noise. The shot-noise limited high frequency noise floor has to be extrapolated: the $\Delta L$ noise floor is proportionnal to the (equivalent) shot noise.

The suspensions have not been updated in the simulation: the configurations are still the ones from Virgo. In particular, the mass of the mirrors was not increased by a factor 2 .

No specific signal has been sent to the mirror actuators to simulate the calibration signals.
As a consequence, the results of the simulation are expected to give the behavior of the B 1 p DC and AC powers, as well as the noise level of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ channel when it is shot-noise limited.

### 5.1 Results

The time-series and spectrum of the simulated shot-noise limited DC and AC powers as well as the spectrum of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ are shown in figures 7,8 and 9 for the $\mathrm{PR}_{-} 25 \mathrm{~W}$, SR_25W and SR_125W respectively.

The table 2 summarizes the DC and AC powers of the B 1 p beam, assuming that the pick-off represents $0.3 \%$ of the output beam (B1) power ${ }^{7}$. The shot-noise is also given, as well as the high frequency noise floor of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ signal. The expected noise floor assuming the readout electronics noise is equivalent to a shot-noise of $10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$ is indicated in the table and in the $\Delta L$ spectrum.

As in Virgo, the dynamic of the power signals is of 5 orders of magnitude when shot-noise limited. The configuration $\mathrm{SR}_{-} 25 \mathrm{~W}$ is the less sensitive in term of reconstructed $\Delta L$, with

[^2]a shot noise limited floor of $8 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$. Assuming the readout electronics has a noise equivalent to a shot-noise of $10^{-10} W$, the noise floor is increased to $1 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}$.

| Config | Parameter | PR_25W | SR_25W | SR_125W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WE-NI | $<B 1 p_{-} D C>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 0.822 | 0.164 | 0.822 |
|  | $\Delta B 1 p_{-} D C(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.045 | 0.0091 | 0.045 |
|  | $\Delta B 1 p_{-} A C(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.009 | 0.0018 | 0.009 |
|  | Shot noise level $(\mathrm{W})$ | $2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $8 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | Shot-noise limited $\Delta L$ floor $(\mathrm{m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}})$ | $3 \times 10^{-13}$ | $8 \times 10^{-13}$ | $3 \times 10^{-13}$ |
|  | Expected $\Delta L$ floor $(\mathrm{m} / \sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}})$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-12}$ |

Table 2: Summary of AdV powers of the B1p beam, shot-noise limited noise-floor of the reconstructed $\Delta L$ and expected noise-floor including electronics noise in different Michelson configurations.

(a) AdV, PR, 25 W : simulated B1p power time-series and spectrum (W).

(b) AdV, PR, 25 W : simulated spectrum of reconstructed $\Delta L$.

Figure 7: Simulated time series and spectrum of the B1p power signals and reconstructed $\Delta L$ for th AdV, PR_25W WE-NI configuration. (a) All y-axis units are watts. First line: times-series of $A C$ and $D C$ signals over 2 s . Second line: spectrum of $A C$ and DC signals (FFTs of 6.5 s ). ACp in black and $A C q$ in red. (b) Simulated $\Delta L$ in red, reconstructed shot-noise limited $\Delta L$ in black. Dotted line: expected floor from B1p electronics noise.

(a) AdV, SR, 25 W : simulated B1p power time-series and spectrum (W).

(b) AdV, SR, 25 W : simulated spectrum of reconstructed $\Delta L$.

Figure 8: Simulated time series and spectrum of the B1p power signals and reconstructed $\Delta L$ for the AdV, SR_25W WE-NI configuration. (a) All y-axis units are watts. First line: times-series of $A C$ and $D C$ signals over 2 s . Second line: spectrum of $A C$ and DC signals (FFTs of 6.5 s ). ACp in black and $A C q$ in red. (b) Simulated $\Delta L$ in red, reconstructed shot-noise limited $\Delta L$ in black. Dotted line: expected floor from B1p electronics noise.

(a) AdV, SR, 125 W : simulated B1p power time-series and spectrum (W).

(b) AdV, SR, 125 W : simulated spectrum of reconstructed $\Delta L$.

Figure 9: Simulated time series and spectrum of the B1p power signals and reconstructed $\Delta L$ for the $A d V, S R_{-} 125 W$ WE-NI configuration. (a) All $y$-axis units are watts. First line: timesseries of $A C$ and DC signals over 2 s. Second line: spectrum of $A C$ and DC signals (FFTs of 6.5 s). $A C p$ in black and $A C q$ in red. (b) Simulated $\Delta L$ in red, reconstructed shot-noise limited $\Delta L$ in black. Dotted line: expected floor from B1p electronics noise.

## 6 Conclusions

The analytical calculations of the B1p DCand AC powers as well as the time-domain simulations of the free swinging Michelson data have been validated though comparisons with Virgo andn Virgo+ data.

They have then been used to simulate AdV configurations. The time-domain simulations have shown that it will be possible to calibrate the AdV mirror actuators using the free swinging Michelson technique as during Virgo. However, constraints are drawn in order to be able to inject sine signals up to $\sim 1 \mathrm{kHz}$ to the mirrors such that the induced motion is visible in the reconstructed $\Delta L$.

### 6.1 Constraints on the mirror magnets and actuators

As a consequence for PAY and SAT sub-systems, one should be able to induce mirror motion of the order of a few $10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}$ at 1 kHz (in HP mode), when taking free swinging Michelson data, on the NE, WE, NI, WI and BS mirrors. This constraint is driven by the SR, 25 W configuration of AdV. It could be relaxed when the laser power will be increased (towards $10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}$ at 1 kHz in HP mode in SR , 125 W configuration.

In order to translate the mirror actuation calibration parameters from HP mode to $L N_{i}$ modes, additional constraints also apply on the coil driver electronics: it is mandatory to have a coil current sensing channels that is used to monitor the current in at least two consecutive modes with exactly the same sensing electronics (i.e in at least HP and LN1 mode, and at least $L N_{i}$ and $L N_{i+1}$ modes).
Note that the main part of the actuation calibration systematic uncertainties during Virgo came from this so-called $H P$ to $L N$ calibration. It is thus also recommanded to have the possibility to measure the current in the coils through a second sensing electronics in order to cross-check the measurements and study systematic effects.

### 6.2 Constraints on the B1p longitudinal photodiode

The expected noise of the AdV photodiode readout electronics is equivalent to a shot noise a bit lower than $10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$ (see section 4.3). This level of noise would limit the $\Delta L$ sensitivity above a few 10 's of Hz .

In order to be shot-noise limited, the B1p electronics noise should be lower than the shotnoise expected for a DC power of $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mW}$, i.e. an equivalent shot-noise of $10^{-11} \mathrm{~W}$. This must not be achievable, but the gain of the B1p photodiode channel might be adapted to reduce the noise towards this value.

### 6.3 Ideas of possible improvements

The following ideas could help to improve the sensitivity of the free Michelson $\Delta L$ measurements, and hence the actuator calibration precision. Not all are easily feasible.

- better tune the demodulation phase than during Virgo.
- better understand and try to subtract the non-linear coupling of the low frequency seismic noise into the band 1 Hz to 100 Hz .
- use other sidebands than 6.27 MHz (i.e. $8.36 \mathrm{MHz}, 56 \mathrm{MHz}$ or 131 MHz ) to increase the sideband transmission $T$ (see equation 6). The average DC power is independent of $T$ and the DC power variations are very low dependence on $T$. So changing the sideband used in the analysis would not modify the shot noise level. However, the AC power variations are proportional to $\sqrt{T}$. The sideband transmission $T$ are shown in table 3 for the different modulation frequencies and the different Michelson configurations. The 6.27 MHz sideband is the best in the asymetric configurations, so no improvements can be done compared to what was shown in this note. In the short Michelson configuration, the 121 MHz sideband could be used, but it might have a lower modulation index which might compensate the effect. The 56 MHz sideband might be the best one in the short Michelson configuration.
- lock the OMCs in order to use the B1 beam which is $\sim 300$ times more powerful. The lock of the OMCs when the beam is flashing due to the fringes of the Michelson configuration must be a difficult task. This solution cannot be taken for granted.
- modify (increase) the laser power and/or the modulation depth when taking FM data. It must not be possible to modify these parameters by large amounts. An increase of laser power of $20 \%$ would improve the $\Delta L$ sensitivity by $\sim 9 \%$. An increase of the modulation depth by a factor 2 would improve the $\Delta L$ sensitivity by $\sim 2$.
- increase the B1p pick-off power during calibration data. This would need to have the B1p pickoff optics on motorized mounts: it is not planned nor easily feasible.

| Frequency (MHz) | 6.270777 | 56.436993 | 8.361036 | 131.686317 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI-WI | 0.0011 | 0.0020 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2}$ |
| WE-NI | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 7}$ | 0.69 | 0.79 | 0.21 |
| WE-NI | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 9}$ | 0.77 | 0.98 | 0.91 |

Table 3: Transmission ( $T$ ) of the different sidebands in the different Michelson configurations.
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## A ITF parameters used to estimate the DC and AC powers

The table 4 summarizes the parameters used in the analytical calculation and SIESTA simulations. The Virgo + configuration corresponds to Virgo + with the monolithic suspensions installed.

Laser powers of VSR1 and VSR4 have been estimated from the channel Sc_IB_TraMC: it is the power transmitted by the input mode-cleaner, that reaches the PR mirror.

The parameter $R_{B 1 p}^{\text {transparent }}$ is a coefficient factor to pick-off the B1p beam that is corrected, in the data in the data acquisition (PrITF.cfg file), or also in the calculation and simulations. As a consequence, the given powers are the power of B1p before the splitter than separate the beam towards the two photodiodes.

| Configuration |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Virgo } \\ \text { (VSR1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Virgo+ } \\ & \text { (VSR4) } \end{aligned}$ | Advanced Virgo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PR, 25 W |  | SR, 25 W | SR, 125 W |
| Laser | $P_{0}$ (W) |  | 8 | 14 | 25 | 25 | 125 |
|  | $\frac{\Omega}{2 \pi}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | 6.2642 | 6.2642 | 6.270777 | 6.270777 | 6.270777 |
|  | $m$ | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| BS | $R_{B S}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  |
|  | $L_{B S}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| WE | $L_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 3000.0812 | 3000.0812 |  | 2999.80 |  |
|  | $R_{W E}$ | 0.999962 | 0.999989 |  | 0.999999 |  |
|  | $L_{W E}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| NE | $L_{1}$ (m) | 3000.0812 | 3000.0812 |  | 2999.80 |  |
|  | $R_{\text {NE }}$ | 0.999962 | 0.999989 |  | 0.999999 |  |
|  | $L_{N E}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| WI | $l_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 5.634 | 5.634 |  | 5.786 |  |
|  | $R_{W I}$ | 0.883 | 0.9586385 |  | 0.986 |  |
|  | $L_{W I}$ | 308 ppm | 308 ppm |  | 204 ppm |  |
| NI | $l_{1}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 6.512 | 6.512 |  | 6.041 |  |
|  | $R_{N I}$ | 0.882 | 0.9587387 |  | 0.986 |  |
|  | $L_{N I}$ | 325 ppm | 325 ppm |  | 204 ppm |  |
| PR | $R_{P R}$ | 0.9487 | 0.9487 |  | 0.95 |  |
|  | $L_{P R}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 530 ppm |  |
| SR | $R_{S R}$ | - | - | 0 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
|  | $L_{S R}$ | - | - | 230 ppm | 130 ppm | 130 ppm |
| B1p pickoff | $R_{B 1 p}^{\text {pickoff }}$ | 0.0034 | 0.0034 |  | 0.0030 |  |
|  | $R_{B 1 p}^{\text {transparent }}$ | 0.5 | $0.90 \times 0.5$ |  | 0.0030 |  |
|  | $\epsilon$ | 0.90 | 0.90 |  | 0.90 |  |

Table 4: Parameters used in the Siesta simulations for the different ITF configurations. For AdV, losses of NI and WI include the compensating plates and losses of PR include the pick-off plate. For AdV, $l 1$ and $l 2$ take into account the mirrors and CP widths (with material index of 1.45 ) $l 1=$ $0.065 \sqrt{2} \times 1.45+5.367+0.035 \times 1.45+0.200+0.200 \times 1.45 . l 2=5.245+0.035 \times 1.45+0.200+0.200 \times 1.45$.

## B Expected DC and AC powers in the different free Michelson configurations

The equations are taken from appendix B of note [1]. The ITF parameters in the different configurations are the one described in appendix A The code to make the calculations can be found in the Cali package v0r3p16, in the script file FreeMichelson.C, function FM_TheoreticalSignals.

For Virgo, the analytical calculation and the SIESTA simulations are in agreement (see tables 5 and 6).

The 7 first lines of the tables give values of parameters defined in section 2. The average value of the DC power and the peak-to-peak $(\Delta)$ values of the DC and AC powers are then given: $P^{o u t}$ is the power after the BS mirror ; $P^{B 1 p}$ is the power of the B1p beam pick-off (as if only one photodiode to measure it).

For AdV, the analytical calculation and the SIESTA simulations are in agreement concerning the DC powers, while there is a $30 \%$ difference in the AC power. This difference has not been understood yet, but it does not have strong impact on the results of this note.

|  | Parameter | WI-NI | WE-NI | NE-WI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | T | 0.0132 | 0.761 | 0.924 |
|  | C | 1 | 0.244 | 0.247 |
|  | $\alpha / P_{B S}$ | 0.0276 | -0.0259 | -0.0289 |
|  | $\beta / P_{B S}$ | 0.441 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
|  | $\alpha$ (W) | 0.0113 | -0.0106 | -0.0119 |
|  | $\beta$ (W) | 0.181 | 0.0918 | 0.092 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 0.999 | 0.23 | 0.229 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 362 | 42.2 | 42.1 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 22.6 | 21.3 | 23.7 |
|  | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 0.554 | 0.281 | 0.281 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 1.11 | 0.129 | 0.129 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.069 | 0.065 | 0.073 |
| Simulation | $\left.<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}\right\rangle(\mathrm{mW})$ | 0.553 | 0.280 |  |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 1.11 | 0.127 |  |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.0693 | 0.073 |  |
| VSR1 data | $\left.<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}\right\rangle(\mathrm{mW})$ | 0.400 | 0.206 | 0.207 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.800 | 0.093 | 0.095 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.048 | 0.043 | 0.047 |

Table 5: Virgo (VSR1). Last 3 lines are VSR1 measurements from GPS 875298420 (NI-WI), 866373720 (WE-NI) and 866375440 (NE-WI). They are in agreement with the data shown in figure 2 of note [1] (October 2007). Note that the demodulation phase was not adjusted for the AC channels, and that ACq was used for NE-WI data while ACp was used for NI-WI data.

|  | Parameter | WI-NI | WE-NI | NE-WI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | T | 0.0132 | 0.761 | 0.924 |
|  | C | 1 | 0.0837 | 0.0835 |
|  | $\alpha / P_{B S}$ | 0.03 | -0.00953 | -0.0105 |
|  | $\beta / P_{B S}$ | 0.479 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
|  | $\alpha$ (W) | 0.0215 | -0.00684 | -0.00752 |
|  | $\beta$ (W) | 0.344 | 0.172 | 0.172 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 0.999 | 0.0788 | 0.0776 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 687 | 27.2 | 26.7 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 43 | 13.7 | 15 |
|  | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 1.04 | 0.522 | 0.522 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 2.08 | 0.082 | 0.081 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.13 | 0.042 | 0.046 |
| Simulation | $\left.<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}\right\rangle(\mathrm{mW})$ | - | 0.520 | 0.520 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.080 | 0.080 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| VSR4 data | $\left.<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}\right\rangle(\mathrm{mW})$ | - | 0.357 | 0.360 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.059 | 0.070 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.017 | 0.025 |

Table 6: Virgo + with monolithic suspensions. Last 3 lines are measurements obtained during VSR4, at GPS 998715966 for WE-NI and 998714196 for NE-WI. The demodulation phase was roughly adjusted so that the $A C p$ channel contains most of the signal.

|  | Parameter | WI-NI | WE-NI | NE-WI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | T | 0.00112 | 0.997 | 1 |
|  | C | 1 | 0.0278 | 0.0278 |
|  | $\alpha / P_{B S}$ | 0.00329 | -0.00136 | -0.00136 |
|  | $\beta / P_{B S}$ | 0.493 | 0.246 | 0.246 |
|  | $\alpha(\mathrm{~W})$ | 0.00407 | -0.00169 | -0.00169 |
|  | $\beta(\mathrm{~W})$ | 0.609 | 0.305 | 0.305 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 1 | 0.0275 | 0.0275 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | $1.22 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 16.8 | 16.8 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 8.14 | 3.37 | 3.37 |
|  | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 1.65 | 0.823 | 0.823 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 3.29 | 0.0453 | 0.0453 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.022 | 0.0091 | 0.0091 |
| Simulation | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | - | 0.823 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.045 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.0068 | - |

Table 7: AdV, PR, $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{W}$

|  | Parameter | WI-NI | WE-NI | NE-WI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | T | 0.00112 | 0.997 | 1 |
|  | C | 1 | 0.0278 | 0.0278 |
|  | $\alpha / P_{B S}$ | 0.00329 | -0.00136 | -0.00136 |
|  | $\beta / P_{B S}$ | 0.493 | 0.246 | 0.246 |
|  | $\alpha(\mathrm{~W})$ | 0.00407 | -0.00169 | -0.00169 |
|  | $\beta(\mathrm{~W})$ | 0.609 | 0.305 | 0.305 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 1 | 0.0275 | 0.0275 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | $1.22 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 16.8 | 16.8 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 8.14 | 3.37 | 3.37 |
|  | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 0.329 | 0.164 | 0.164 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.658 | 0.0091 | 0.0091 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.00439 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| }{} | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | - | 0.164 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.009 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.0014 | - |

Table 8: AdV, SR, $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{W}$

|  | Parameter | WI-NI | WE-NI | NE-WI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation | T | 0.00112 | 0.997 | 1 |
|  | C | 1 | 0.0278 | 0.0278 |
|  | $\alpha / P_{B S}$ | 0.00329 | -0.00136 | -0.00136 |
|  | $\beta / P_{B S}$ | 0.493 | 0.246 | 0.246 |
|  | $\alpha(\mathrm{~W})$ | 0.0203 | -0.00843 | -0.00844 |
|  | $\beta(\mathrm{~W})$ | 3.05 | 1.52 | 1.52 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 1 | 0.0275 | 0.0275 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | $6.09 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 83.9 | 83.9 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{\text {out }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | 40.7 | 16.9 | 16.9 |
|  | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | 1.64 | 0.822 | 0.822 |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 3.29 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | 0.022 | 0.0091 | 0.0091 |
| Simulation | $<P_{D C}^{B 1 p}>(\mathrm{mW})$ | - | 0.822 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{D C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.045 | - |
|  | $\Delta P_{A C}^{B 1 p}(\mathrm{~mW})$ | - | 0.068 | - |

Table 9: AdV, SR, $125 \mathbf{W}$

## C Calculation of the demodulated power of the Michelson interferometer

The calculation is based on the description done in appendix B of note [1], with some corrections:

- equation 24 of [1]: a factor 2 is missing for the signals at $\Omega$ and $2 \Omega$ (in front of the real parts $(\Re))$. This factor 2 then has to be applied in all equations of section B.2.2.
- the factor $1 / 2$ that appears when multiplying the two cosines is corrected in the Virgo demodulation electronics. So another factor 2 has to be applied to the equations on p. 30 of [1].
- the main error is the statement in p. 30 of [1] that $r r_{s b}-\Delta r \Delta r_{s b} \sim r r_{s b}$ : this is true in the case of a symmetric Michelson (short or long Michelson configurations), but both terms are of similar amplitudes in the case of non-symmetric Michelson as the WE-NI and NE-WI configurations mainly used for the mirror actuator calibration.

Finally, assuming the demodulation phase is tuned to have $P_{A C q}=0$ and all the signal in $P_{A C p}$, one has to rewrite equations 34-36 from [1]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{A C p}=\alpha_{A C p} \sin (\Delta \Phi)  \tag{14}\\
& \alpha_{A C p}=2 P_{0} J_{0} J_{1} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega}{c} l_{-}\right)\left[r^{2}-\Delta r^{2}\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

## D Siesta configuration file of Virgo+ (WE-NI)

```
/* $Id: freeMich_WENI_OPnode_VirgoP.car,v 1.1 2012/12/11 16:49:15 rolland Exp $ */
/* Simulate 130 s for suspension "warm-up" and 70 s of data usable for reconstruction */
/* maximum clock rate for OPglobal : 100 kHz */
UJclock masterClocks 4000000 4 20000. 10000. 1000. 1.
/* UFrBuilder name clock firstrun firstframe triggerType */
UFrBuilder FBuilder 3 1 1 1 1
/* Seismic noise */
/* Noise motion description: clock amplitude F_lowpass correlation_distance*/
GRound 2 5 e-7 0.6 0.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
/* Noise & motion at one point & \(* /\) \\
GRoundPt & Mbs & 0. & 0. & 0. \\
GRoundPt & Mwe & 0. & 3005.6 & 0. \\
GRoundPt & Mni & 5.8 & 0. & 0.
\end{tabular}
/*Simulate an initial excitation of the mirrors: sine at 0.2 Hz with linear decrase of amplitude during 0.5 s */
```




```
    USif BSexc 0 BSexcAmplTmp.out > 0 BSexcTmp.out NULL /* Use sine signal only when amplitude is positive */
/* Force to be applied on BS mirror. 2. 2V on zCorr, with 1.1 mN/V/coil -> 2.4 mN applied on each magnet */
/* Force to be applied on BS mirror. 2.2V on zCorr, w
UScosine 
UScosine BSline3 0 0rrern
USadder zBS 0 4 BSline1.out BSline2.out BSline3.out BSexc.out
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\(* *\) & 0.0825 & 0.0825 & 0.0825 & 0.0 \\
\(/ *\) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0
\end{tabular}
/* Simulation of actuation */* (Hz) nBits DACmax(V) DACnoise(V/rHz) gain Vmax Imax R mact name clock input f_AA(Hz) Rcoil Fcoil alpha(N/A) */
```




```
/* USignal WEexcFreq 0.695
```




```
/* Force to be applied on WE mirror. 0.2 V on zCorr, with 2.2 mN/V/coil -> 0.44 mN applied on each magnet */
```



```
llllll
```





```
/*
```



```
/* ******* PR ****** */
MIrror MirPR 1 NULL NULL MiSuPRf NULL -6.085 0. 0. 1. 0. 0.
MIsurf MiSuPRf 6.99154e-4 .2 0. 0. 0. 0.9487 0
/* ******* BS ****** */
/* Thermal ThBS 1.llllllll
MIsa SuBS 1 Mbs.dxyzt "/users/rolland/home/myDev/Cali/v0r3p16/siesta/bs_tuned.sad"
ref_3 fBS.out
ref_4 fBS.out
```



```
OPnode nodeWIb_out 0 nodeWIf_out.tBeam NULL MiSuWIb
```




```
/* Pick-up part of the beam to be read as B1p:
    _ pick-off towards B1p: R=0.0034
    - pick-off towards phase camera \(90 \%\) (this is then accounted for with a gain 1.1 in PrITF)
    - beam-splitter between d1 and d2: \(R=0.50\) (this is then accounted for with a gain of 2 in PrITF)
    - gain of electronics (Ohm)
    - Pd quantum efficiency \(90 \%\)
*/
/* MIrror name clock suspPos thermPos fSurf bSurf \(\quad\) x \(\quad\) y \(\quad\) z \(\quad\) tx ty tz \(\quad * /\)
```




```
\(/ *\) OPnode name clock iBeam1 iBeam2 MiSurf. output reflected beam is Pickoff.rBeam */
OPnode nodePickoff 0 nodeBSf_out.tBeam NULL MiSuPickoff
/* B1p readout: light detection on two photodiodes d1 and d2. Gains taken from ITF procedures/DET web page*/
\(\begin{array}{lccccccc}\text { USignal } & \text { phiDemod } & -0.506 & / * & 30 & \text { degres } & * / & \\ \text { OPdiode } & \text { d1p } & 0 & 0.90 & 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { phiDemod } & \text { nodePickoff.rBeam } & \text { YES } \\ \text { OPdiode } & \mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{p} & 0 & 0.90 & 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { phiDemod } & \text { nodePickoff.rBeam } & \text { YES }\end{array}\)
```

/*

/* noise must be given in A/sqrtHz. ADCs have noise of $2 e-7 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{sqrtHz}$. *
We assume that the pre-amplifier stage has the same noise level.
Divided by the channel gain, it gives the noise in A/sqrtHz. */
/* Pd pre-ampli gains (V/A) from ITF procedure page: d1pAC=35310, d1pDC=6800, d2pAC=9520, d2pDC=390 (V/A)*/

$/ * \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { estimated from the gains in PrITF } \rightarrow \text { d1pAC }=10857, \quad \text { d1pAC }=1872\end{aligned}$
/* pre-ampli in ADC channels reduces the input voltage by a factor $\sim 4$.
Then the ADC AD7674 digitizes the data with $+/-5$ V input */
/*
OP
18
OP

18
+
/* demodulated detection photodiode signals *

* demodulated detection photodiode signals *
(and correct for $50 \%$ splitter and $10 \%$ pickoff to phase camera, as in Virgo+ PrITF) */
$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { USadder B1p_d1_DC_20 } & 0 & 1 & \text { d1p.dc } & 2.2\end{array}$

| USadder | $B 1 p \_d 1 \_A C \bar{p} \_20$ | 0 | 1 | $d 1 p . p h a s e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

USadder B1p_d1_ACq_20 $00 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { B } & \text { d1p.quad } & 2.2\end{array}$
USadder B1p_d2_DC_-20 $0 \quad 1$ d2p.dc
USadder B1p_d2_ACp-_20 $001 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{ph}$ ase $\quad 2.2$
USadder B1p_d2_ACq_20 00 d 1 p. quad 2.2
UFrLRdout 1 "Pr B1p d1 DC" B1p d1 DC 20.out $1.0-64$ adc


/* Force applied to the mirror */
UFrLRdout 0 "Sc_BS_zForce" fBS.out 1.0-1 adc
/* True deltaL */

* True deltaL
USignal
sqrit
U .41421356237309515
USignal sqrt2 1.41421356237309515
USmultiply zBSeff 1 MirBS.dxyzt.s2 sqrt2
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { USmultiply zBSeff } & 1 & \text { MirBS.dxyzt.s2 sqrt2 } \\ \text { USadder LengthN } & 1 & 2 & \text { MirNI.dxyzt.s } 2 \\ \text { UBSeff.out }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll} & 1 & 2 & \text { MirN1.dxyzt.s2 } & \text { zBSe } \\ & & 1.0 & -1.0 \\ \text { USadder LengthW } & 1 & 1 & \text { MirWE.dxyzt.s } 2 & 1.0\end{array}$
USadder deltaL 12 LengthN.out LengthW.out
$1.0 \quad-1.0$
UFrLRdout 1 "deltaL" deltaL.out $1.0-64$ adc
/* Some channels to monitor the power at different position */
$/ *$ OPdiode name clock efficiency frequency demodulationPhase beam shot_noise? $\quad * /$
OPdiode Beam_Input $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL mod.oBeam $\quad$ NO
OPdiode Beam_PRtoBS $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL nodePRf.tBeam NO
OPdiode Beam_BStoNI $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL nodeBSb_in.tBeam NO
OPdiode Beam_NItoBS $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.2642 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL nodeNIb_out.tBeam NO



## E Siesta configuration file of AdV (WE-NI)

/* Simulate 130 s for suspension "warm-up" and 70 s of data usable for reconstruction $* /$
/* maximum clock rate for OPglobal : $100 \mathrm{kHz} * /$
UJclock masterClocks $4000000 \quad 4 \quad 20000$. 10000 . 1000 . 1 .
/*UFrBuilder name clock firstrun firstframe triggerType */
UFrBuilder FBuilder 3111
/* Seismic noise */ Noise motion description: clock amplitude F_lowpass correlation_distance*/
$\begin{array}{lcccc}* \\ \text { GRound } 2 & 5 e-7 & 0.6 & 0 & \\ / * & \text { Noise } & \text { motion at one point } & *\end{array}$
/ Noise motion at one point
GRoundPt Mbs 0.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { GRoundPt } & \text { Mbs } & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . \\ \text { GRoundPt } & \text { Mwe } & 0 . & 3005.6 & 0 .\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { GRoundPt Mni } & 5.8 & 0 . & 0 .\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cllll}\text { /*GRoundPt } & \text { Mne } & 3005.7 & 0 . & 0 . \\ \text { GRoundPt } & \text { Mwi } & 0 . & 5.6 & 0 .\end{array}$
$/ *$ Simulate an initial excitation of the mirrors: sine at 0.2 Hz with linear decrase of amplitude during 0.5 s $* /$
USignal BSexcFreq 0.317 / $\quad \mathrm{Hz} * /$

USsweep BSexcAmplTmp $0 \quad 2 \mathrm{e}-6-2 \mathrm{e}-6 \quad$ / $\quad$ Linear variation $* /$
USline BSexcTmp $\quad 0 \quad$ BSexcAmplTmp.out BSexcFreq $0.0 \quad$ / Generate sine signal with decreasing amplitude */
USif BSexc 0 BSexcAmplTmp.out $>0$ BSexcTmp.out NULL /* Use sine signal only when amplitude is positive */
/ Force to be applied on BS mirror. 2.2 V on zCorr, with $1.1 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{coil}->2.4 \mathrm{mN}$ applied on each magnet $* /$
$\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { UScosine } & \text { BSline1 } & 0 & 2.2 & 37.0 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { UScosine } & \text { BSline2 } & 0 & 2.2 & 117.0 & 0 \\ \text { UScosine } & \text { BSline3 } & 0 & 2.2 & 237.0 & 0\end{array}$
USadder zBS 0 B BSline1.out BSline2.out BSline3.out BSexc.out

 being the cut-off frequency of the amplifier */
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { MIact } & \text { fBS } 0 & \text { zBS.out } & 3 \mathrm{e} 3 & 20 & 10 . & 2.5 \mathrm{e}-6 & 0.15 & 20 . & 1.0 & 10.6 \mathrm{e}-3\end{array}$
/* Force to be applied on WE mirror. 0.2 V on zCorr, with $2.2 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{coil}->0.44 \mathrm{mN}$ applied on each magnet */

| $*$ Force | to be | applied | on | mirror. | 0.2 | on |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UScosine | WEline1 | 0 | 0.2 | 451.5 | 0 |  |
| UScosine | WEline2 | 0 | 0.2 | 616.5 | 0 |  |
| UScosine | WEline3 | 0 | 0.2 | 851.5 | 0 |  |
| USadder zWE_UD | 0 | 3 | WEline1. out | WEline2.out | WEline3.out |  |



| UScosine | WEline4 | 0 | 0.3 | 36.5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| UScosine | WEline5 | 0 | 0.2 | 1036.5 | 0 |

USadder zWE_LR $0 \quad 2$ WEline4. out WEline5. out

| MIact | fWE_LR 0 | zWE_LR. out | 3 e 3 | 20 | 10. | $2.5 \mathrm{e}-6$ | 0.15 | 20. | 1.0 | 1 | 9 e 3 | $1.9 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




| $/ * * * * * * * *$ | BS | $* * * * * *$ | $* /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thermal | ThBS | 1 | 5 | 28 | 15 | 4 e 6 | 16 e 6 | 36 e 6 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 4.7 e 3 | 300 | 600 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 e 6 | 1 e 6 | 1 e 5 | 1 e 5 | 1 e 5 |

MIsa SuBS 1 Mbs.dxyzt "/users/rolland/home/myDev/Cali/v0r3p16/siesta/bs_tuned.sad"
ref_1 fBS.out
ref ${ }_{2}$ fBS.out
$\mathrm{ref}_{-}^{-} 3$ fBS.out
ref ${ }^{-} 4$ fBS.out
ref_4 fBS.ou
0
"freeze(x,y, ty, tz)"
/ "defaults"*//

* Definition of the mirror *
/* MIrror name clock suspPos thermPos fSurf bSurf x y z tx ty tz */

$\begin{array}{lllllll}* \\ \text { * Misurf name } \\ \text { MIsurf } & \text { MiSuBSf } 0 . & .2 & 0 . & 0 . & 0.0 & 0.50\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { MIsurf } & \text { MiSuBSb } & 0 . & .2 & 0 . & 0 . & 0.0 & 0.00 \\ 0.0 & .2 & 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$
$/ * * * * * * * * \mathrm{WE} * * * * * * * /$
Thermal ThWE 1128
0.5955

MIsa SuWE 1 Mwe.dxyzt


| ref | 2 | fWE_LR. out | $/ *$ | Coil | L |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ref_ 3 | fWE_UD.out | $/ *$ | Coil | U | $* /$ |

```
                    ref_4 fWE_UD.out /* Coil D */
                    " %
                            "freeze(x,y,ty,tz)"
/* Pos of WE: ( - 3005.6 ;0),
(minus half-thickness of BS at 45 deg 0.5*0.065*sqrt(2) and plus WI thickness 0.2) * glass index( 1.45) */
MIrror MirWE 1 SuWE.dxyzt NULL MiSuWEf NULL 0. 3005.586 0. 0. -1. 0.
MIsurf MiSuWEf 5.9417706e-4 .2 0. 0. 0. 0.999999 0
/* ******* NI ****** */
Thermal ThNI 1 1 28
                    0.5955
                    1.e6
MIsa SuNI 1 Mni.dxyzt "/users/rolland/home/myDev/Cali/v0r3p16/siesta/Tower6000.sad"
                    0
                                    "freeze(x,y,ty,tz)"
/* Pos of NI: (5.774 ; 0),
(plus thickness of BS at 45deg 0.065*sqrt(2) and NI thickness 0.2) * glass index (1.45) */
MIrror MirNI 1 SuNI.dxyzt NULL MiSuNIf MiSuNIb 6.041 0. 0. 1. 0. 0. 
MIsurf 
Mlllllll
/* ******* NE ****** */
MIrror MirNE 1 NULL NULL MiSuWEf NULL 3005.841 0. 0. - 0. 0. 0.
MIsurf
    MiSuNEf 5.9417706e-4 .2 0. 0. 0. 0.999999
/* ******* WI ********* */ 
MIrror 
/* ******* PR ****** */
MIrror MirPR 1 NULL NULL MiSuPRf NULL -6.085 0. 0. 1. 0. 0.
MIsurf MiSuPRf 6.99154e-4.2 0. 0. 0. 0.95 530e-6 /* AdV, HR face, Roc 1430.3 m: 95% HR reflection,
                                    <100 ppm AR reflection, <30 ppm absorption,
                                    <400 ppm reflection in POP of PR set in losses since misaligned */
/* ******* SR ******* */
MIrror mirSR 1 NULL NULL MiSuSRf NULL M
MIsurf MiSuSRf 6.99154e-4.2 0. 0. 0. 0.80 0.000130 /* AdV_SR (SR with HR) */
```



```
/*Input laser beam */ 
```



```
IOlaser rrlaser 0 NOLL 
/* Modulation: OPmod name clock input nfreq list__of_freq signals_for_amplitude_modulation */
```



```
/* Bessel J0 and J1 factors for modulation depth of 0.1 */
USignal carrier 0.997501565
USignal sb1 
USignal sb2 
/* ************************************************************************* */
```



```
/* *******************************
/* PR transmission of INJ beam */ NULL MiSuPRf
l* BS front */ N in 0
/* W arm: WI input */ nodeBSf_in.rBeam NULL MiSuWIb
OPnode nodeWIf_in 0
/* W arm:WE *T
OPnode nodeWEf 0 nodeWIf_in.tBeam NULL MiSuWEf
/*W Wrm: WI output *// nodeWEf.rBeam NOM NULL MiSuWIf
OPlollol
/* N arm: BS back */
OPnode nodeBSb_in 0 nodeBSf_in.tBeam NULL MiSuBSb
/* N arm: NI iñput (do not ge\overline{t back the beam going to NE since misaligned) */}
/* N arm: NI input (do not get back the beam going to N
OPnode nodeNIb_in 0 nodeBSb_in.tBeam NULL MiSuNIb
OPnode nodeNIf}\mp@subsup{}{-}{-
OPnode nodeNIb_out 0 nodeNIf_in.rBeam NULL MiSuNIb
OPnode nodeBSb_out 0 nodeNIb_out.tBeam NULL MiSuBSb
/* BS output */
OPnode nodeBSf_out 0 nodeWIb_out.tBeam nodeBSb_out.tBeam MiSuBSf
```

$/ *$ SR transmission */
OPnode nodeSR 0 nodeBSf_out.tBeam NULL MiSuSRf

```
/* ****************************************************************************************)
/* -
/* Pick-up part of the beam to be read as B1p:
    _ pick-off towards B1p: R=0.003 (TDR, p. 299)
    - beam-splitter between d1 and d2: R=0 50
    - gain of electronics: ??, noise of electronics: ?? (see also note VIR-0177A-12 + talk VIR-0306A-12, slide 12)
*/
```



```
/* Misurf name 1/curvature radius thetax thetay halfthickness reflection losses (in intensity) */
MIsurf MiSuPickoff 0. .2 0. 0. 0. 0.00300 0.0
/*OPnode name clock iBeam1 iBeam2 MiSurf. output reflected beam is Pickoff.rBeam */
OPnode nodePickoff 0 nodeSR.tBeam NULL MiSuPickoff
/* B1p readout: light detection on two photodiodes d1 and d2. Gains taken from ITF procedures/DET web page*/
USignal phiDemod -0.785398 /* 45 degres for PR_25W and PR_125W */
```



| /* demodulated detection | photodiode | si |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| USadder | B1p_d1_DC_20 | 0 | 1 | d1p.dc |  |
| USadder | B1p_d1_ACp_20 | 0 | 1 | d1p.phase |  |
| USadder | B1p_d1_ACq_ 1 A |  |  |  |  |


/* Some channels to monitor the power at different position */
/ OPdiode name clock efficiency frequency demodulationPhase beam shot_noise? */
OPdiode Beam_Input $0 \quad 1 \quad 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL mod.oBeam NO
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { OPdiode Beam_PRtoBS } & 0 & 6.270777 \text { e6 NULL nodePRf.tBeam NO } \\ \text { OPdiode } & \text { Beam_BStoNI } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 \text { NULL nodeBSb_in.tBeam NO }\end{array}$
OPdiode Beam_NItoBS $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.270777 \mathrm{e}$ 6 NULL nodeNIb_out.tBeam NO
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodeBSf_in.rBeam } & \text { NO } \\ \text { OPdiode } & \text { Beam_BStoWI } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodeWIf in.tBeam } & \text { NO }\end{array}$
OPdiode Beam_WItoWE $\quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6$ NULL nodeWIf_in.tBeam NO
OPdiode Beam_WEtoWI $\quad 0 \quad 16.270777$ e6 NULL nodeWEf.rBeam NO
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { OPdiode } & \text { Beam_WItoBS } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodeWIb_out.tBeam NO } \\ \text { OPdiode Beam_BStoSR } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodeBSf out.tBeam NO }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { OPdiode } & \text { Beam_BStoSR } & 0 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} & \text { NULL nodeBSf out.tBeam NO } \\ \text { OPdiode } & \text { Beam_Output } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodeSR.tBeam }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { OPdiode Beam-Pickoff } & 0 & 1 & 6.270777 \mathrm{e} 6 & \text { NULL nodePickoff.rBeam NO }\end{array}$
USaverage Sc_IB_TraMC_10 1 Beam_Input.dc 2

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { UFrLRdout } 0 & \text { "Beam_Input" } & \text { Beam_Input.dc } & 1.0 & -64 \text { adc } \\ \text { UFrLRdout } 0 & \text { "Beam_PRtoBS" } & \text { Beam_PRtoBS.dc } & 1.0-1 \text { adc }\end{array}$
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam_PRtoBS"
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam ${ }^{-}$BStoNI"
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam-BStoWI"
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam_BStoWI"
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam_NItoBS"
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam_WItoWE"
UFrLRdout 0
UFrLRdout 0
0 "Beam_-WEtoWI"
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { UFrLRdout } & 0 \\ \text { UFrLRdout } & 0 \\ \text { "Beam_WItoBS" }\end{array}$
UFrLRdout 0 "Beam_OStoSR"
Beam_PRtoBS.dc
Beam_BStoNI.dc $\quad 1.0-1$ adc
Beam_BStoWI.dc $\quad 1.0-1$ adc
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Beam_BStoWI.dc } & 1.0 & -1 & \mathrm{adc} \\ \text { Beam_NItoBS.dc } & 1.0 & -1 & \mathrm{adc}\end{array}$
Beam_NItoBS.dc
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Beam_WItoWE.dc } & 1.0 & -1 & \mathrm{adc} \\ \text { Beam_WEtoWI.dc } & 1.0 & -1 & \mathrm{adc}\end{array}$
Beam_WEtoWI.dc
Beam_WItoBS.dc $\quad 1.0-1 \mathrm{adc}$
Beam ${ }^{-}$BStoSR.dc $\quad 1.0-1$ adc
Beam ${ }^{-}$Output.dc $\quad 1.0-1$ adc
UFrOFile -3 "FM_WENI" NO FBuilder.frameH 100

## F B1p readout

## F. $1 \quad$ B1p readout in Pr

The Pr_ITF configuration files have been stored in the Virgo database and are readable through the dbui tool. The calibration parameters of B1p during the runs have been checked and are given in this appendix.

Part of the VSR4 configuration of $\operatorname{Pr}$ _ITF that computes the power B1p signals (20/08/2011) (the offset is given in ADC counts and the gain in W/V):

| KEY Name | Input | Offset Gain | Unit | \# F_Hz |  | ADC_gain(V/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_ACp | Pr_B1p_d1_ACp | 140000-0.000119 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58107e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_ACq | Pr_B1p_d1_ACq | 303.86-0.000119 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.5942e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_DC | Pr_B1p_d1_DC | 373.6670 .000167 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58012e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_DC_V | Pr_B1p_d1_DC | 373.6671 | Volt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58012e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_ACp | Pr_B1p_d2_ACp | 25000-0.000687 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.5863e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_ACq | Pr_B1p_d2_ACq | $0 \quad-0.00069$ | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.56625e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_DC | Pr_B1p_d2_DC | 1175.270 .00276 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.57021e-06 |

Part of the VSR3 configuration of $\operatorname{Pr} \_$ITF that computes the power B1p signals (29/08/2012), including the choice of the photodiode to use for the final channels $\mathrm{Pr}_{-} B 1 p_{-} D C, A C p, A C q$ :


Part of the VSR2 configuration of $\operatorname{Pr}$ _ITF that computes the power B1p signals (11/12/2009):

| KEY Name | Input | Offset | Gain | Unit | \# F_Hz |  | ADC_gain(V/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_ACp | Pr_B1p_d1_ACp | 748.23 | -0.000119 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58749e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_ACq | Pr_B1p_d1_ACq | 329.489 | -0.000119 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.6168e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_DC | Pr_B1p_d1_DC | 1410.94 | 0.00021 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.59372e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d1_DC_V | Pr_B1p_d1_DC | 1410.94 | 1 | Volt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.59372e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_ACp | Pr_B1p_d2_ACp | -220.411 | -0.000687 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.6033e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_ACq | Pr_B1p_d2_ACq | 602.498 | -0.00069 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58876e-06 |
| PR_ADC_CH B1p_d2_DC | Pr_B1p_d2_DC | 1360.53 | 0.00363 | Watt | \# 20000 | 32 | -9.58127e-06 |

## F. 2 History of B1p readout

The photodiodes deliver a current proportionnal to the beam power. The conversion factor is given in [5]: $0.78 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{W}$.

The equivalent resistance of the pre-amplifiers are also given: they are respectively $329 \Omega$ and $339 \Omega$ for the d 1 and d 2 photodiodes [5].

The demodulation board is described in [5]. In theory, the input gain $G_{1}$ can be changed using jumpers (defaults values are 1.7 (standard), 4 and 8 ), the gain of the mixer is $G_{m}=0.55$ and the output gain $G_{2}$ is set to 11 . It would results in a standard gain of 10.3 but it has been measured to 6.2.

The resistances giving the gain $G_{1}$ can be modified. Some history of the gains $G_{1}$ used and modified in Virgo can be found in the logbook ${ }^{8}$.

In the demodulation board 26 used to read the d1 signal, the possible values of $G_{1}$ are 10 , 30 and 100. The value ${ }^{9}$ used since June 2009 must be 10.

In the demodulation board 29 used to read the d 2 signal, the possible values of $G_{1}$ are 1.7, 4 and 8 , and the value used since June 2009 is 1.7.

As a consequence, the total gain of the d1 readout is

$$
G_{d 1}=0.78 \times 329 \times\left(\frac{10}{1.7} 6.2\right)=0.78 \times 329 \times 36 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{V}
$$

Taking into account the $10 \%$ increase of gain the the Pr_ITF configuration due to the phase camera pick-off, It is in agreement with the gain used Pr_ITF (0.000119): $1 / G_{d 1} \times 1.1=$ 0.000118 .

The total gain of the d 2 readout is

$$
G_{d 2}=0.78 \times 339 \times 6.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{V}
$$

Taking into account the $10 \%$ increase of gain the the Pr_ITF configuration due to the phase camera pick-off, It is in agreement with the gain used $\operatorname{Pr}$ _ITF ( 0.000690 ): $1 / G_{d 2} \times 1.1=$ 0.000671 .

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The x -axis is the horizontal axis perpendicular to the beam axis.
    ${ }^{2}$ See VIR-NOT-ROM-1390-311 for initial magnets, and logbook entry 26630 for the values with magnets reduced by a factor $\sim 5$.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is true well above the mirror pendulum mechanical response frequency ( 0.6 Hz ) and up to frequencies where internal mirror mode excitation cannot be neglected (few kHz when using the pairs of coil actuators on the side on the mirrors).

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ see for example the VSR4 data at GPS 997511369 (August 16 2011).
    ${ }^{5}$ even before the pick-off mirror that pick $10 \%$ of the light towards the phase camera since mid-May 2009, see logbook entry 22904.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ In Science Mode, the electronics noise for AdV readout electronics is expected to be a factor $\sim 2$ below the shot noise, and the power on the nominal photodiodes is $\sim 50 \mathrm{~mW}$. As a consequence, the shot noise is $1.4 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$ and the electronics noise should be below $10^{-10} \mathrm{~W}$.
    ${ }^{7}$ and a single photodiode for B1p.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ Entries 6318, 6428, 10802 and 23109.
    ${ }^{9}$ This value of $G_{1}$ might have been used already before looking at the configuration of Pr_ITF (the gains of B1p_d2_AC were not changed between October 2008 and end 2011) but the change of $G_{1}$ from 30 to 10 between October 2005 and June 2009 (or Oct 2008) was not found in the logbook. Another possibility is that the power calibration of B1p_d1_AC was off by a factor 3 between October 2005 and June 2009.

